

## THE REMAINDER IN WEYL'S LAW FOR RANDOM TWO-DIMENSIONAL FLAT TORI

Y. PETRIDIS AND J.A. TOTH

### Abstract

We prove that the average order of the remainder in counting the number of points of a random lattice inside a disc of radius  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{1/4+\epsilon})$ . Our proof is spectral in nature.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  with Laplace–Beltrami operator  $\Delta$  and spectral counting function

$$N(\lambda) := \#\{\lambda_j \in \text{Spec}(\Delta); \lambda_j \leq \lambda\}.$$

Then, a celebrated theorem of Hörmander [Hö] asserts that

$$N(\lambda) = c_n \text{vol}(M) \lambda^{n/2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{(n-1)/2}) \quad (1.1)$$

for a constant  $c_n$ , depending only on the dimension  $n$ . Moreover, the estimate in (1.1) is sharp as can be seen by considering the round sphere,  $S^n$ . The question of determining the optimal bound for the error term

$$R(\lambda) := N(\lambda) - c_n \text{vol}(M) \lambda^{n/2}$$

in any given example is a difficult problem which depends on the properties of the associated geodesic flow, and is far from being understood in detail. Nevertheless, there are several important results along these lines: One of the first is a result of Duistermaat–Guillemin [DG] which asserts that in the case where the geodesic flow is clean and the set of unit-speed geodesics in  $S^*M$  has null Liouville measure, then one can improve the Hörmander bound in (1.1) to

$$R(\lambda) = o(\lambda^{(n-1)/2}).$$

Subsequently, Ivrii [Iv1,2] gave a different proof of this result and extended it to manifolds with boundary. There are some additional improvements in

---

The first author would like to thank the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik for its financial support. The second author was supported in part by an Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellowship and NSERC grant OGP0170280.

$R(\lambda)$  that are known for some specific examples. For instance, in the case of hyperbolic manifolds, a result of Bérard [Bé] gives

$$R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{(n-1)/2} / \log \lambda).$$

This is in all likelihood far from optimal. Indeed, it has even been conjectured that, at least in the non-arithmetic case  $R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^\epsilon)$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . In fact, even for noncompact arithmetic surfaces with cusps, such as  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ , one has

$$R(\lambda) = c \cdot \lambda^{1/2} \log \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{1/2}),$$

see [Hej2, 2.21, p. 511]. For compact arithmetic surfaces arising from quaternion algebras Selberg proved that  $R(\lambda) = \Omega(\lambda^{1/4} / \log \lambda)$ ; see [Hej1, p. 315].

In the opposite case of completely integrable geodesic flow there are several cases where improved error terms are known: For (generic) convex surfaces of revolution, Colin de Verdière [C] has shown that

$$R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{1/3}) \tag{1.2}$$

which agrees with a result of Van der Corput and Sierpinski [Si] for the classical circle problem in 2 dimensions, i.e. for the torus  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

There are also additional more general results of Volovoy [V] under long-time recurrence estimates for the geodesic flow, but they are difficult to quantify. The geometrically simplest example of an integrable geodesic flow on a surface is the 2-dimensional flat torus. In this case, Hardy's Careful Conjecture [H1] states that 'it is not unlikely that

$$R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}_\epsilon(\lambda^{1/4+\epsilon}) \tag{1.3}$$

for all positive  $\epsilon$ '. There is much evidence, both numerical and otherwise to suggest that the bound above is indeed optimal. For instance, a classical result of Cramér [Cr] says that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T^{3/2}} \int_0^T |R(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda = c > 0 \tag{1.4}$$

for a constant  $c$ . Hardy also gave the lower bound  $R(\lambda) = \Omega(\lambda^{1/4})$ , see [H2], and Sarnak [S] generalized and gave a geometric interpretation of [H2]: If the geodesic flow on a two dimensional manifold has the property that, for some fixed  $T$ , the fixed point set of the flow for time  $T$  is two dimensional in the three dimensional unit cotangent space, then  $R(\lambda) = \Omega(\lambda^{1/4})$ .

Despite the fact that there has been much work devoted to improving the estimate for  $R(\lambda)$ , deterministic results are still far away from (1.3). The best result that we are aware of is  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{23/73} \log^{315/146} \lambda)$  and is due to Huxley [Hu1]. The problem for general flat tori in dimensions  $n \geq 4$  is solved. In

fact the estimates  $R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n/2-1})$  for  $n \geq 5$ , while  $R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda \log \lambda)$  for  $n = 4$  for the standard integer lattice are classical, see [F, Satz 3, p. 36; Satz 2, p. 95]. For rational lattices and  $n \geq 5$  the error bound  $R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{n/2-1})$  is due to Landau [L] and cannot be improved. For lattices in  $n \geq 9$  the error is  $o(\lambda^{n/2-1})$  iff the lattice is irrational, see [BG]. This estimate is now known also for irrational lattices in dimensions  $n \geq 5$ , see [G]. For  $n = 3$  estimating the sharp error term is not known and the corresponding bound to (1.2) is  $R(\lambda) = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{5/6})$ .

The purpose of this note is to establish the Hardy bound (1.3) in a probabilistic sense, where we average over local metric deformations of 2-dimensional tori. More precisely, we prove

**Theorem 1.1.** (i) Fix  $\epsilon$  with  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and let  $I := [1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$  and  $N(\lambda; \vec{u})$  denote the spectral counting function for the corresponding Laplace–Beltrami operator on the flat two-torus  $M(\vec{u})$ ,  $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2)$  with metric form

$$H(p, \sigma; u_1, u_2) = u_1 \sigma^2 + u_2 p^2.$$

Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\int_{I^2} |N(\lambda; \vec{u}) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{vol}(M(\vec{u})) \lambda|^2 d\vec{u} = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\lambda^{1/2+\delta}). \tag{1.5}$$

(ii) Consider the general metric Hamiltonian for a flat two-dimensional torus  $M(\vec{u})$ ,  $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$  given by

$$H(p, \sigma; u_1, u_2, u_3) := u_1 \sigma^2 + u_3 p \sigma + u_2 p^2. \tag{1.6}$$

Then the following holds:

$$\int_{I^3} |N(\lambda; \vec{u}) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{vol}(M(\vec{u})) \lambda|^2 d\vec{u} = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\lambda^{1/2+\delta}). \tag{1.7}$$

REMARK 1.2. We should emphasize that our result in Theorem 1.1 is local. Consequently, it differs significantly from the following global result of Randol [R1]. Indeed, if  $G/\Gamma := \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , with  $dg$  the Haar measure, and we define  $N(\lambda; g)$  to be the number of lattice points of  $g \cdot \mathbb{Z}^2$  inside the disc of radius  $\sqrt{\lambda}$ , then Randol shows that

$$\int_{G/\Gamma} |N(\lambda; g) - (\zeta(2))^{-1} \pi \lambda|^2 dg = \zeta(2)^{-1} \pi \lambda + \mathcal{O}_N(\lambda |\log \lambda|^{-N}), \tag{1.8}$$

for any integer  $N$ . Thus, when estimating over *all* lattices of fixed volume, one gets an asymptotic formula for  $R(\lambda)$  which is consistent with the Hörmander error in (1.1) and no better. This global result thus differs considerably from our Theorem 1.1. The point here is that, since our result is

purely local, it does not take into account degenerate tori on the boundary of the moduli space  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  which appear to make a large contribution to (1.8).

REMARK 1.3. In (1.1) we average locally in the moduli space of flat tori. Kendall in [K] averages over shifts of the standard lattice and gets the same type of bound:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 R(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)^2 d\alpha d\beta = \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{1/2}),$$

where  $(\alpha, \beta)$  represents a shift of the standard lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . For shifts of a  $k$ -dimensional ellipsoid he gets the bound  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^{(k-1)/2})$ . Counting the number of lattice points inside the circle centered at  $(\alpha, \beta)$  corresponds to Weyl's law associated with the differential operator  $\Delta + P(\alpha, \beta)$ , where  $P(\alpha, \beta)$  is a first order differential operator with constant coefficients.

REMARK 1.4. Results involving spectral averaging on shorter scales than (1.4) are known. For instance, Iosevich, Sawyer, Seeger [ISS] have recently proved

$$\int_T^{T+\log T} R(\mu^2)^2 d\mu \ll T.$$

Huxley [Hu2] proved the estimate

$$\int_T^{T+1} R(\mu^2)^2 d\mu \ll T \log T.$$

The distribution of  $R(\lambda^2)/\sqrt{\lambda}$  for the standard torus  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  was studied by Heath-Brown [He] and for shifted convex ovals has been studied by Bleher in a series of papers starting with [Bl].

REMARK 1.5. The spectral averaging on the scale  $[0, T]$  for negatively curved surfaces has been studied in [R2]. He obtains a result similar to Hardy's [H1] for almost all pairs of points  $(x, y) \in M^2 \times M^2$ .

REMARK 1.6. Our method is spectral in nature and avoids estimates on exponential sums and the Hardy–Littlewood circle method. It avoids also the sophisticated techniques developed for the circle problem and (rational and irrational) tori.

We first take up the case where  $H(p, \sigma; u_1, u_2) = u_1 \sigma^2 + u_2 p^2$ . For such metrics the proof of Theorem 1.1 (i) is rather computational and so we split it up into three parts: an analysis of the averaged density of states (section 2), mean-square density of states (section 3) and a rescaled spectral argument (section 4).

## 2 Averaged Density of States

In this section we give an asymptotic estimate for the averaged density of states of the eigenvalues,  $\lambda_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , of the Laplacian  $\Delta$  on the torus,  $T^2$ . We put  $\hbar^{-1} = \sqrt{\lambda}$ . Most of our estimates will be given in terms of  $\hbar$  but the reader should have no difficulty in expressing them in terms of  $\lambda$ . We define the average density of states as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{AV}(\phi) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{I^2} \phi(\lambda_j(\vec{u}) - \lambda) d\vec{u} \\ &= \hbar \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is[H(m\hbar, n\hbar; \vec{u}) - 1]/\hbar} \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds d\vec{u}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\phi$  is even, belongs to the Schwartz space  $S(\mathbb{R})$  and its Fourier transform  $\check{\phi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $\check{\phi}(0) = 1$ . The second equality follows from the Fourier inversion formula. The density  $\text{AV}(\phi)$  is a function of  $\lambda$ , or, equivalently,  $\hbar$  and we are interested in estimating it as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ . Integration by parts in the  $s$  variable gives for any  $\delta > 0$  and  $\hbar \leq \hbar_0$ ,

$$\text{AV}(\phi) = \hbar \sum_{|m|, |n|=0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \int_{I^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is[H(m\hbar, n\hbar; \vec{u}) - 1]/\hbar} \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds d\vec{u} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$  means that the error is  $\mathcal{O}_N(\hbar^N)$  for any  $N > 0$ . We decompose the last expression in (2.2) into a sum of the two terms:

$$d\rho_0(\phi) := \hbar \sum_{|m|, |n|=0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \int_{I^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is[H(m\hbar, n\hbar; \vec{u}) - 1]/\hbar} \zeta(s) \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds d\vec{u}, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $\zeta(s)$  is  $\equiv 1$  close to 0 and is in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , and

$$d\rho_+(\phi) := \hbar \sum_{|m|, |n|=0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \int_{I^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is[H(m\hbar, n\hbar; \vec{u}) - 1]/\hbar} (1 - \zeta(s)) \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds d\vec{u}. \tag{2.4}$$

We choose  $\zeta(s)$  in the way described in the Appendix. Due to the appearance of the cutoff  $\zeta(s)\check{\phi}(\hbar s)$  in (2.3), the argument here is somewhat different from [DG, Prop.2.1]. For the sake of completeness, we include this in the Appendix. Using (6.6) (see also [U, Section 1.3]), we get

$$d\rho_0(\phi) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \check{\phi}(0) \int_{I^2} \text{vol}(M(\vec{u})) d\vec{u} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty). \tag{2.5}$$

It remains to estimate the long-time term,  $d\rho_+(\phi)$ . We can assume that either  $|m\hbar| \geq C > 0$  or that  $|n\hbar| \geq C > 0$ . Otherwise  $\lambda_j(u) - \lambda \gg \lambda$ .

Since  $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $\phi(x) \ll |x|^{-N}$  for  $|x|$  large and every  $N > 0$ , while the number of such summands in (2.2) is  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ . So such terms contribute  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$ . Assume first that  $|m\hbar| \geq C > 0$ . Then, by integrating in the  $u_1$  variable in (2.4), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \hbar \sum_{|m|=C\hbar^{-1}}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{|n|=0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \int_{I^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is[H(m\hbar, n\hbar; \vec{u})-1]/\hbar} (1 - \zeta(s)) \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds d\vec{u} \\ & \ll \hbar \sum_{|m|=C\hbar^{-1}}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \sum_{|n|=0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{1}{m^2 \hbar} \right) \frac{1}{s} (1 - \zeta(s)) \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds \ll |\log \hbar| \hbar^{-\delta}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

since the summation over  $m$  contributes  $\ll \hbar$  and the integration in the  $s$  variable contributes  $\ll \log \hbar$ . When  $|n\hbar| \geq C > 0$  we repeat the above argument, except that we integrate by parts with respect to the  $u_2$  variable. Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrarily small, a combination of (2.6) with (2.5) gives the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let  $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\check{\phi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Then, given the two-parameter family of metric forms  $H(p, \sigma; u_1, u_2) := u_1 \sigma^2 + u_2 p^2$  on the torus, we have that for  $\hbar$  sufficiently small and any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$|AV(\phi; \hbar)| = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\hbar^{-\delta}).$$

REMARK 2.2. The result of Proposition 2.1, i.e. the estimate  $|AV(\phi; \hbar)| = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\hbar^{-\delta})$  also holds for the three-parameter family of metric forms  $H(p, \sigma; u_1, u_2, u_3) := u_1 \sigma^2 + u_3 p \sigma + u_2 p^2$ . The proof is the same.

### 3 Mean-square Density of States

We define

$$d\rho(\phi; \vec{u}, \hbar) = \hbar \sum_{|m|, |n|=0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is[H(m\hbar, n\hbar; \vec{u})-1]/\hbar} \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds$$

and

$$d\rho_+(\phi; \vec{u}, \hbar) = \hbar \sum_{|m|, |n|=0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is[H(m\hbar, n\hbar; \vec{u})-1]/\hbar} (1 - \zeta(s)) \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds.$$

The mean square density of states is given by the expression

$$MS(\phi) = \int_{I^2} \left| d\rho(\phi; \vec{u}, \hbar) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{vol}(M(\vec{u})) \check{\phi}(0) \right|^2 d\vec{u}$$

$$= \int_{I^2} |d\rho_+(\phi; \vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 d\vec{u} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty). \tag{3.1}$$

Here, the last estimate in (3.1) is an immediate consequence of (2.5) and (2.6). By introducing  $\chi(y)$  a function which is  $\geq 1$  on  $[1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$ , and has compact support, we are thus reduced to estimating

$$\int_{I^2} |d\rho_+(\phi; \vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 d\vec{u} \ll \hbar^2 \sum_{m_i, n_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{i\Phi(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \vec{u}, \vec{s}, \hbar)/\hbar} a(\vec{s}; \hbar) \chi(u_1) \chi(u_2) d\vec{s} d\vec{u}, \tag{3.2}$$

where, by the integration by parts argument in section 2 it suffices, modulo  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$  errors, to sum over quadruples with  $\max(|m_j|, |n_j|) \leq \hbar^{-1-\delta}$ ;  $j = 1, 2$ . We set  $\vec{s} = (s_1, s_2)$ . To simplify the writing in (3.2) we have put

$$\Phi(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \vec{u}, \vec{s}, \hbar) = H(m_1 \hbar, n_1 \hbar; \vec{u}) s_1 - H(m_2 \hbar, n_2 \hbar; \vec{u}) s_2,$$

and

$$a(\vec{s}; \hbar) := (1 - \zeta(s_1))(1 - \zeta(s_2)) \check{\phi}(\hbar s_1) \check{\phi}(\hbar s_2) e^{i(s_2 - s_1)/\hbar}.$$

To estimate the integral in (3.2), we fix  $\delta > 0$  and consider the set

$$\Omega(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \hbar) := \{ \vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2; |H(m_j \hbar, n_j \hbar; \vec{u}) - 1| \leq \hbar^{1-\delta}; j = 1, 2 \}.$$

By an integration by parts in the  $s_1, s_2$  variables in (3.2), it suffices to assume, modulo  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$  errors, that we only sum over quadruples  $(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2)$  with the property that for  $\hbar \leq \hbar_0$ ,

$$\Omega(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \hbar) \neq \emptyset.$$

So, we have that  $\int_{I^2} |d\rho_+(\phi; \vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 d\vec{u}$  is bounded by

$$C \hbar^2 \sum_{\Omega(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \hbar) \neq \emptyset} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} e^{i\Phi(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \vec{u}, \vec{s}, \hbar)/\hbar} a(\vec{s}; \hbar) \chi(u_1) \chi(u_2) d\vec{s} d\vec{u} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty).$$

Furthermore, a suitable integration by parts in  $u_1, u_2$  shows that attention can be restricted to pairs  $(s_1, s_2) \in \text{supp}(a)$  satisfying

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} \Phi(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \vec{u}, \vec{s}, \hbar) \ll \hbar^{1-\delta}, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{3.3}$$

The errors accrued are  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$ . Written out explicitly, the inequality in (3.3) reads

$$\begin{aligned} |m_1 \hbar|^2 s_1 - |m_2 \hbar|^2 s_2 &\ll \hbar^{1-\delta} \\ |n_1 \hbar|^2 s_1 - |n_2 \hbar|^2 s_2 &\ll \hbar^{1-\delta}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since  $\min(|s_1|, |s_2|) \gg 1$  on  $\text{supp } a(\vec{s}; \hbar)$ , for a given  $(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2)$  we need to be able to solve (3.4) for some  $s_1, s_2$  with  $\min(|s_1|, |s_2|) \gg 1$ . By

inverting the matrix equation in (3.4) using Cramer's rule and the estimate  $\max(|m_j|, |n_j|) \ll \hbar^{-1-\delta}$ , we get

$$|m_1 \hbar|^2 |n_2 \hbar|^2 - |m_2 \hbar|^2 |n_1 \hbar|^2 \ll \hbar^{1-3\delta}. \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, the condition  $\Omega(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \hbar) \neq \emptyset$  means that for some  $u_1 = u_1(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \hbar)$ ,  $u_2 = u_2(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \hbar)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (u_1, u_2) \cdot (|m_1 \hbar|^2, |n_1 \hbar|^2) &= 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{1-\delta}) \\ (u_1, u_2) \cdot (|m_2 \hbar|^2, |n_2 \hbar|^2) &= 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{1-\delta}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where  $\cdot$  is the standard inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Resubstituting (3.6) back into (3.5) or using Cramer's rule, we get

$$\frac{1}{u_2} (|m_1 \hbar|^2 - |m_2 \hbar|^2) = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{1-3\delta}), \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{u_1} (|n_1 \hbar|^2 - |n_2 \hbar|^2) = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{1-3\delta}). \tag{3.8}$$

Since  $\delta$  is arbitrarily small, we will abuse notation somewhat and will write  $\delta$  instead of  $3\delta$ . Consequently, the two estimates in (3.8) and (3.7) imply that:

$$|m_1| - |m_2| \ll \frac{\hbar^{-1-\delta}}{|m_1| + |m_2|} \quad \text{and} \quad |n_1| - |n_2| \ll \frac{\hbar^{-1-\delta}}{|n_1| + |n_2|}. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, we have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MS}(\phi) &\ll \hbar^2 \sum_{m_2, n_2} \sum_{m_1 \in \beta(m_2, \hbar)} \sum_{n_1 \in \gamma(n_2, \hbar)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \check{\phi}(\hbar s_1) \check{\phi}(\hbar s_2) (1 - \zeta(s_1)) \\ &\times (1 - \zeta(s_2)) \hat{\chi}(\hbar[s_1 m_1^2 - s_2 m_2^2]) \hat{\chi}(\hbar[s_1 n_1^2 - s_2 n_2^2]) d\vec{s}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\beta(m_2, \hbar) := \left\{ m_1; |m_1| - |m_2| \ll \frac{\hbar^{-1-\delta}}{|m_1| + |m_2|} \right\}, \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\gamma(n_2, \hbar) := \left\{ n_1; |n_1| - |n_2| \ll \frac{\hbar^{-1-\delta}}{|n_1| + |n_2|} \right\}. \tag{3.12}$$

We make either the change of variables

$$S = \hbar(m_1^2 s_1 - m_2^2 s_2), \quad T = s_1 + s_2,$$

or, alternatively,

$$S = \hbar(n_1^2 s_1 - n_2^2 s_2), \quad T = s_1 + s_2.$$

We notice that, since  $\check{\phi}$  has compact support,  $\hbar(s_1 + s_2) \ll 1$ , which gives

$T \ll \hbar^{-1}$ . Equation (3.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\text{MS}(\phi)| &\ll \hbar^{2-2-2\delta} \sum_{m_2, n_2 \neq 0} \frac{1}{m_2 n_2} \min\left(\frac{1}{\hbar m_2^2}, \frac{1}{\hbar n_2^2}\right) \int_{|T| \ll \hbar^{-1}} dT \\
 &+ \hbar^{2-1-1/2-2\delta} \sum_{m_2 \neq 0, n_2 = 0} \frac{1}{m_2} \frac{1}{\hbar m_2^2} \int_{|T| \ll \hbar^{-1}} dT \\
 &+ \hbar^{2-1-1/2-2\delta} \sum_{n_2 \neq 0, m_2 = 0} \frac{1}{n_2} \frac{1}{\hbar n_2^2} \int_{|T| \ll \hbar^{-1}} dT \\
 &+ \hbar^2 \sum_{m_1 \neq 0, m_2 = 0}^{\hbar^{-1/2-\delta}} \sum_{n_1 \neq 0, n_2 = 0}^{\hbar^{-1/2-\delta}} \min\left(\frac{1}{\hbar m_1^2}, \frac{1}{\hbar n_1^2}\right) \int_{|T| \ll \hbar^{-1}} dT. \tag{3.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

For the estimate on the first line of (3.13) we used the range of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  in (3.11) and (3.12). For the second estimate we notice that, when  $n_2 = 0$ , (3.12) gives  $n_1^2 \ll \hbar^{-1-\delta}$ . The third estimate is deduced using (3.12) and the fourth using (3.11) and (3.12).

Thus, since  $|m_2 \hbar|^2 + |n_2 \hbar|^2 \geq C > 0$  and  $|m_1 \hbar|^2 + |n_1 \hbar|^2 \geq C > 0$ , it follows that

$$\min\left(\frac{1}{\hbar m_2^2}, \frac{1}{\hbar n_2^2}\right) \ll \hbar \quad \text{and} \quad \min\left(\frac{1}{\hbar m_1^2}, \frac{1}{\hbar n_1^2}\right) \ll \hbar,$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\text{MS}(\phi)| &\ll \hbar^{-2\delta} \sum_{m_2, n_2 \neq 0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \frac{1}{m_2 n_2} + \hbar^{1/2-2\delta} \sum_{m_2 \neq 0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \frac{1}{m_2} \\
 &+ \hbar^{1/2-2\delta} \sum_{n_2 \neq 0}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \frac{1}{n_2} + \hbar^{2-1/2-\delta-1/2-\delta} \\
 &\ll \hbar^{-2\delta} |\log \hbar|^2 + \hbar^{1/2-2\delta} |\log \hbar| + \hbar^{1-\delta}. \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  in (3.14) is arbitrarily small, we have proved

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Consider the two-parameter family of flat metrics on  $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2$  given by the Hamiltonian functions  $H(p, \sigma; u_1, u_2) := u_1 \sigma^2 + u_2 p^2$ . Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$\text{MS}(\phi) := \int_{I^2} |d\rho(\phi; \vec{u}, \hbar) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{vol}(M(\vec{u})) \check{\phi}(0)|^2 d\vec{u} = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\hbar^{-\delta}).$$

We now turn to the proof of our main theorem. For this we will need to combine the estimates for the averaged and mean-square density of states with a rescaled, well-known spectral decomposition, see [DG], [V].

**4 The Spectral Decomposition: Proof of Theorem 1.1(i)**

Let  $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\phi(\lambda) > 0$ , and  $\check{\phi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\check{\phi}(0) = 1$ . We start with a rescaled spectral argument used by Duistermaat and Guillemin [DG], but applied to the eigenvalues of  $\Delta$  rather than  $\sqrt{\Delta}$ . Taking into account this rescaling we will naturally encounter semiclassical density of states on scales of order  $\sim \hbar^2$  where  $\hbar^{-1} = \sqrt{\lambda}$ . Our starting point is the following basic spectral decomposition (see [DG], [V]):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) &= \int_{\lambda' \geq \lambda+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \\ &+ \int_{|\lambda - \lambda'| < 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \\ &+ \int_{\lambda' < \lambda-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \\ &- \int_{\lambda' \leq \lambda-1} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

In the case at hand, all of the quantities in (4.1) depend on the external parameters  $(u_1, u_2) \in I^2$  and our task is to estimate the (integrated) asymptotics of both sides of (4.1). First, we turn to the simplest term, which is the third term on the right-hand side of (4.1). Indeed, since  $1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(s) ds$ , it follows that

$$\int_{\lambda' < \lambda-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) = N(\lambda - 1; \vec{u}).$$

To estimate the other terms in (4.1), we will need the following result which hinges on the estimates for the averaged and mean-square density of states in sections 2 and 3:

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $H(p, \sigma; u_1, u_2) = \sigma^2 u_1 + p^2 u_2$ . Then, for any  $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R})$  as above, we have that*

$$\int_{I^2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{vol}(M(\vec{u})) \lambda \right| d\vec{u} = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\lambda^{1/4+\delta}).$$

*Proof.* First, by the Fubini theorem,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x - \lambda') dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) dx.$$

Since  $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R})$  and  $0 \leq \lambda_j \in \text{Spec}(\Delta)$ ,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x - \lambda') dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-\infty}), \tag{4.2}$$

as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ . Thus, from (4.2) it follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{4.3}$$

Put  $\hbar^{-1} = \sqrt{\lambda}$  and consider the rescaled operator  $H := \hbar^2 \Delta$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(\hbar) = \hbar^2 \lambda_j : j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, making the change of variables  $\Lambda := \hbar^2 x$ , and taking (4.3) into account, it follows that, modulo  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  errors, we are reduced to estimating

$$\begin{aligned} I(\vec{u}, \hbar) &= \hbar^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^1 \phi\left(\frac{\lambda_j(\hbar; \vec{u}) - \Lambda}{\hbar^2}\right) d\Lambda \\ &= \hbar^{-2} \sum_{m,n}^{\hbar^{-1-\delta}} \int_{-1}^1 \phi\left(\frac{H(m\hbar, n\hbar; \vec{u}) - \Lambda}{\hbar^2}\right) d\Lambda + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Next, we apply the Fourier inversion formula to the right-hand side in (4.4) and split the resulting integral into two pieces corresponding to the zero and non-trivial period spectrum. More precisely, let  $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  be non-negative, even and equal to 1 close to 0. Its support should be small enough as explained in the Appendix. Then,

$$I(\vec{u}, \hbar) = I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar) + I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar), \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar) = \hbar^{-1} \text{Trace} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{is[H(Q_1, Q_2; \vec{u}) - \Lambda]/\hbar} \zeta(s) \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds d\Lambda,$$

and

$$I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar) = \hbar^{-1} \text{Trace} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{is[H(Q_1, Q_2; \vec{u}) - \Lambda]/\hbar} (1 - \zeta(s)) \check{\phi}(\hbar s) ds d\Lambda,$$

and  $Q_1 = m\hbar, Q_2 = n\hbar$ . First, we estimate  $I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar)$  on the right-hand side of (4.5) as explained in the Appendix. We get

$$I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{vol}(M(\vec{u})) \hbar^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{4.6}$$

To prove Proposition 4.1, we need to estimate

$$\int_{I^2} |I(\vec{u}, \hbar) - I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar)| d\vec{u} = \int_{I^2} |I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar)| d\vec{u}.$$

To do this, we appeal to Proposition 3.1 on the mean-square density of

states and apply the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality. First,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I^2} |I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 d\vec{u} \\ & \leq \hbar^{-2} \sum_{m_i, n_i} \int e^{i\Phi(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \vec{u}, \vec{s}, \hbar)/\hbar} a(\vec{s}, \vec{u}; \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \hbar) d\Lambda_1 d\Lambda_2 d\vec{s} d\vec{u}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & a(\vec{s}, \vec{u}; \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2; \hbar) \\ & = e^{i[-\Lambda_1 s_1 + \Lambda_2 s_2]/\hbar} (1 - \zeta(s_1)) \check{\phi}(\hbar s_1) (1 - \zeta(s_2)) \check{\phi}(\hbar s_2) \chi(u_1) \chi(u_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since  $\check{\phi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  the summations in (4.7) are restricted to indices with  $\max(|m_i|, |n_i|) \ll \hbar^{-1-\delta}$ . Moreover, since  $\min(|s_1|, |s_2|) \geq 1$  on supp  $a$ , by an iterated integration in the  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$  variables, we get

$$\int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 a(\vec{s}, \vec{u}; \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2; \hbar) d\Lambda_1 d\Lambda_2 = \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{s_1 s_2} \right) \cdot b(\vec{s}, \vec{u}; \hbar),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b(\vec{s}, \vec{u}; \hbar) & = (e^{i(-s_1+s_2)/\hbar} + e^{i(s_1-s_2)/\hbar} - e^{i(-s_1-s_2)/\hbar} - e^{i(s_1+s_2)/\hbar}) \\ & \quad \times (1 - \zeta(s_1)) (1 - \zeta(s_2)) \check{\phi}(\hbar s_1) \check{\phi}(\hbar s_2) \chi(u_1) \chi(u_2). \end{aligned}$$

We notice that  $b(\vec{s}, \vec{u}; \hbar) = \mathcal{O}(1)$  as  $\hbar \leq \hbar_0$ . The last three terms corresponding to the boundary terms with  $\Lambda_1 = -1$  or  $\Lambda_2 = -1$  contribute  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$  to (4.7). This follows by an integration by parts in one of the  $s_i$  variables, since  $|H(m_i \hbar, n_i \hbar; \vec{u}) + 1| \gg \hbar^{1-\delta}$ . So, the end result is that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I^2} |I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 d\vec{u} \\ & = \sum_{\substack{C\hbar^{-1-\delta} \\ |m_i|, |n_i| > 0}} \int e^{i\Phi(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \vec{u}, \vec{s}, \hbar)/\hbar} a(\vec{s}, \vec{u}; 1, 1, \hbar) \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} d\vec{s} d\vec{u} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Since

$$s_1 s_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(s_1 + s_2) \quad \text{when} \quad \min(s_1, s_2) \geq 1,$$

it follows by the argument in Proposition 3.1 that the right-hand side in (4.8) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll \sum_{m_2, n_2} \sum_{m_1 \in \beta(m_2, \hbar)} \sum_{n_1 \in \gamma(n_2, \hbar)} \int_{|s_1|, |s_2| \geq C} \frac{\check{\phi}(\hbar s_1) \check{\phi}(\hbar s_2)}{|s_1| + |s_2|} \\ & \quad \times \hat{\chi}(\hbar[s_1 m_1^2 - s_2 m_2^2]) \hat{\chi}(\hbar[s_1 n_1^2 - s_2 n_2^2]) d\vec{s}, \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by

$$\hbar^{-2-2\delta} \sum_{m_2, n_2} \frac{1}{m_2 n_2} \min\left(\frac{1}{\hbar m_2^2}, \frac{1}{\hbar n_2^2}\right) \int_{C < |T| \ll \hbar^{-1}} \frac{dT}{T} \ll \hbar^{-1-2\delta} |\log \hbar|^3.$$

The sets  $\beta(m_2, \hbar)$  and  $\gamma(n_2, \hbar)$  are defined in (3.11), (3.12) and the argument follows as in (3.13) with the only change being the appearance of the denominator  $|s_1| + |s_2|$ . Now, by the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\int_{I^2} |I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar)| d\vec{u} \ll \left( \int_{I^2} |I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 d\vec{u} \right)^{1/2} \ll (\hbar^{-1-3\delta})^{1/2} \ll \lambda^{1/4+3\delta}. \tag{4.9}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

By reshuffling the terms in the spectral decomposition, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} |N(\lambda - 1; \vec{u}) - I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 &\ll |I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 \\ &+ \left| \int_{\lambda' \geq \lambda+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2 \\ &+ \left| \int_{|\lambda - \lambda'| < 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2 \\ &+ \left| \int_{\lambda' \leq \lambda-1} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Integrating both sides of (4.10) over the deformation parameters  $u_1, u_2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I^2} |N(\lambda - 1; \vec{u}) - I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 d\vec{u} &\ll \int_{I^2} |I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 d\vec{u} \\ &+ \int_{I^2} \left| \int_{\lambda' \geq \lambda+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2 d\vec{u} \\ &+ \int_{I^2} \left| \int_{|\lambda - \lambda'| < 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2 d\vec{u} \\ &+ \int_{I^2} \left| \int_{\lambda' \leq \lambda-1} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2 d\vec{u} \\ &= T_0 + T_1 + T_2 + T_3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

From Proposition 4.1 we have that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$T_0 = \int_{I^2} |I_+(\vec{u}, \hbar)|^2 d\vec{u} = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\hbar^{-1-\delta}).$$

Consequently, it remains to estimate the terms  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  on the right-hand side of the inequality (4.11). We start with  $T_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_1| &= \int_{I^2} \left| \int_{\lambda' \geq \lambda+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2 d\vec{u} \\
 &\leq C_N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{I^2} \left| \int_{m \leq \lambda' - \lambda \leq m+1} dN(\lambda', \vec{u}) \right|^2 \right) m^{-N} d\vec{u} \\
 &\leq C_N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \int_{I^2} (N(\lambda + m + 1; \vec{u}) - N(\lambda + m; \vec{u}))^2 d\vec{u} \right) m^{-N}. \tag{4.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

One gets the first inequality above by splitting up the range of  $\lambda' - \lambda \in [0, \infty)$  and using the fact  $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ . We let  $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda + m$ . It follows from Proposition 3.1 for the mean-square density of states that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\int_{I^2} (N(\lambda + m + 1; \vec{u}) - N(\lambda + m; \vec{u}))^2 d\vec{u} \ll (m + \lambda)^\delta,$$

so that the last line in (4.12) is bounded by

$$C_N \lambda^\delta \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-N+\delta} = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\lambda^\delta).$$

The end result is that

$$|T_1| = \int_{I^2} \left| \int_{\lambda' \geq \lambda+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2 d\vec{u} = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\lambda^\delta). \tag{4.13}$$

The term  $T_3$  can be estimated in the same way as  $T_1$ . So, in particular it follows that:

$$|T_3| = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\lambda^\delta) \tag{4.14}$$

for any  $\delta > 0$ . We now turn to the estimating the term  $T_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_2 &= \int_{I^2} \left| \int_{|\lambda - \lambda'| < 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2 d\vec{u} \\
 &\leq \int_{I^2} \left| \int_{|\lambda - \lambda'| < 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x - \lambda') dx dN(\lambda'; \vec{u}) \right|^2 d\vec{u} \\
 &= \int_{I^2} |N(\lambda + 1; \vec{u}) - N(\lambda - 1; \vec{u})|^2 d\vec{u},
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\phi(x) \geq 0$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx = 1$ . Then

$$\int_{I^2} |N(\lambda + 1; \vec{u}) - N(\lambda - 1; \vec{u})|^2 d\vec{u} = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\hbar^{-\delta}),$$

where the estimate is a consequence of Proposition 3.1 for the mean-square density of states. Therefore, we have that

$$|T_2| = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\hbar^{-\delta}). \tag{4.15}$$

Combining the estimates (4.9), (4.13), (4.14), (4.15) and (4.6) with the integrated spectral decomposition in (4.11) gives

$$\int_{I^2} |N(\lambda - 1; \vec{u}) - \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{vol}(M(\vec{u}))\lambda|^2 d\vec{u} = \mathcal{O}_\delta(\lambda^{1/2+\delta}) + \mathcal{O}_\delta(\lambda^\delta) + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

for any  $\delta > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 (i). □

### 5 Generic Flat Tori: Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii)

Here we take up the case where

$$H(p, \sigma; u_1, u_2, u_3) := u_1\sigma^2 + u_3p\sigma + u_2p^2.$$

Then, just as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (i), we get from the eigenfunction equation that for some  $\vec{u} = \vec{u}(m_1, n_1, m_2, n_2; \hbar)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u_1m_1^2 + u_3m_1n_1 + u_2n_1^2 &= \hbar^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-1-\delta}), \\ u_1m_2^2 + u_3m_2n_2 + u_2n_2^2 &= \hbar^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-1-\delta}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Furthermore, since there are now three parameters, there are three inequalities analogous to the estimates in (3.5) and (3.6). These inequalities come from solving the system of three equations that we get by computing the Fourier transforms in the  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  variables in the expression for  $\text{MS}(\phi; \hbar)$ . These are

$$\begin{aligned} m_1^2n_2^2 - m_2^2n_1^2 &\ll \hbar^{-3-\delta}, \\ m_1m_2(m_2n_1 - m_1n_2) &\ll \hbar^{-3-\delta}, \\ n_1n_2(m_2n_1 - m_1n_2) &\ll \hbar^{-3-\delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Multiplying the first equation in (5.1) by  $m_2^2$ , the second by  $m_1^2$  and subtracting gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar^{-2}(m_2^2 - m_1^2) &= u_3m_1m_2(m_2n_1 - m_1n_2) \\ &\quad + u_2(n_1^2m_2^2 - n_2^2m_1^2) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-3-\delta}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-3-\delta}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where the last inequality in (5.3) follows from the first two estimates in (5.2).

Similarly, multiplying the first equation in (5.1) by  $n_2^2$ , the second by  $n_1^2$  and subtracting gives

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar^{-2}(n_2^2 - n_1^2) &= u_3n_1n_2(m_2n_1 - m_1n_2) \\ &\quad + u_1(n_1^2m_2^2 - n_2^2m_1^2) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-3-\delta}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-3-\delta}), \tag{5.4}$$

which follows from the first and last estimates in (5.2). Combining the inequalities in (5.3) and (5.4) gives

$$|m_1| - |m_2| \ll \frac{\hbar^{-1-\delta}}{|m_1| + |m_2|} \quad \text{and} \quad |n_1| - |n_2| \ll \frac{\hbar^{-1-\delta}}{|n_1| + |n_2|}.$$

Restricting to quadruples satisfying the inequalities (3.9), the proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii) then proceeds exactly as before.

### 6 Appendix: Proofs of (2.5) and (4.5).

To prove (2.5) we set

$$\check{\chi}(s, \hbar) = \zeta(s) \cdot \check{\phi}(\hbar s). \tag{6.1}$$

From (2.3) it is clear that, up to  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$  errors, we have

$$d\rho_0(\phi) = \hbar \cdot \text{Trace} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is[-\hbar^2\Delta-1]/\hbar} \check{\chi}(s, \hbar) ds.$$

We consider the operator  $P = (-\hbar^2\Delta - 1)/\hbar$ . The one-parameter group of operators  $U(s) = e^{isP}$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(s) = (-i\hbar\Delta - i\hbar^{-1})U(s)$$

and its Schwartz kernel  $\tilde{U}(s, x, y; \hbar)$  is given by

$$\tilde{U}(s, x, y; \hbar) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i[(x-y)\cdot\xi + s|\xi|^2 - s]/\hbar} d\xi.$$

This calculation holds for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Since the torus  $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{R}^2$  is covered by  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we have

$$U(s, x, y; \hbar) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \tilde{U}(s, x + \gamma, y; \hbar).$$

The trace formula

$$\sum_j \chi(\lambda_j) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} U(s, x, x; \hbar) \check{\chi}(s, \hbar) ds d \text{vol}(x)$$

gives

$$d\rho_0(\phi) = c_0 \hbar^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\gamma \cdot \xi/\hbar} e^{is(|\xi|^2-1)/\hbar} \check{\chi}(s, \hbar) ds d\xi \tag{6.2}$$

with  $c_0 = \text{vol}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{R}^2)/(2\pi)^2$ . We choose the support of  $\check{\chi}(s; \hbar)$ , or, equivalently  $\zeta(s)$  to be sufficiently small, as explained in (6.3) below. The main contribution in (6.2) comes from the range  $|\xi|^2 \sim 1$ , because on the complement we can integrate by parts in  $s$  as many times as needed and get

$\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$  error. We look first at the summands with  $\gamma \neq 0$ . We integrate by parts in the  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  variables. Since  $|\xi_j| \leq 2$ , say, and  $\gamma \neq 0$ , we can find a constant  $c > 0$ , independent of  $\vec{u}$ , such that  $\max(|\gamma_j|) \geq c$ . We take

$$\text{supp}(\check{\chi}) \subset (-c/5, c/5). \tag{6.3}$$

Then

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j} (\gamma \cdot \xi + |\xi|^2 s) \right| = |\gamma_j + 2\xi_j s| \geq c/5. \tag{6.4}$$

With the choice (6.3) for the support of  $\check{\chi}$  we can ignore the contribution of  $\gamma \neq 0$ , up to  $\mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$  errors. We get

$$d\rho_0(\phi) = c_0 \hbar^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{is(|\xi|^2 - 1)/\hbar} \check{\chi}(s; \hbar) ds d\xi + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty). \tag{6.5}$$

We set  $\phi_\hbar(\tau) = \hbar^{-1} \phi(\tau/\hbar)$  and from (6.1) it follows that  $\chi = \hat{\zeta} * \phi_\hbar$ . We change to polar coordinates in (6.5) and substitute  $r^2 - 1 = \hbar x$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho_0(\phi) &= 2\pi c_0 \hbar^{-2} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\zeta}((r^2 - 1)/\hbar - y) \phi(y/\hbar) r dy dr + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty) \\ &= \pi c_0 \hbar^{-1} \int_{-1/\hbar}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\zeta}(x - y) \phi(y/\hbar) dy dx + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty). \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini, we do the  $x$ -integration first using

$$\int_{-1/\hbar}^\infty \hat{\zeta}(x - y) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\zeta}(x - y) dx + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty) = \zeta(0) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty).$$

Since  $\zeta(0) = 1$ , we get finally

$$d\rho_0(\phi) = \frac{\text{vol}(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{R}^2)}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(v) dv + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty). \tag{6.6}$$

*Proof of (4.5).* By the trace formula for the operator  $P = (-\hbar^2 \Delta - \Lambda)/\hbar$  we get

$$I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar) = \frac{\text{vol}(M(\vec{u}))}{4\pi^2} \hbar^{-3} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\gamma \cdot \xi} e^{is(|\xi|^2 - \Lambda)/\hbar} \check{\chi}(s; \hbar) ds d\xi d\Lambda.$$

The main contribution to the integrand in  $\Lambda$  comes from the range  $|\xi|^2 \sim \Lambda$ , and, since the range of  $\Lambda$  is  $[-1, 1]$ , the choice of the support of  $\zeta(s)$  can be made independently of  $\Lambda$ , see (6.4). The result is that

$$I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar) = \frac{\text{vol}(M(\vec{u}))}{4\pi^2} \hbar^{-3} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is(|\xi|^2 - \Lambda)/\hbar} \check{\chi}(s; \hbar) ds d\xi d\Lambda + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty).$$

We change to polar coordinates and substitute  $r^2 - \Lambda = \hbar x$  to get

$$I_0(\vec{u}, \hbar) = \frac{\text{vol}(M(\vec{u}))}{4\pi} \hbar^{-3} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-\Lambda/\hbar}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\zeta}(x - y) \phi(y/\hbar) dy dx d\Lambda + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\text{vol}(M(\vec{u}))}{4\pi} \hbar^{-3} \int_{-1}^1 \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{-\Lambda/\hbar} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\zeta}(x-y)\phi(y/\hbar)dy dx d\Lambda \\
 &= \frac{\text{vol}(M(\vec{u}))}{4\pi} \left( \frac{2}{\hbar^2} \check{\phi}(0) - \frac{1}{\hbar^3} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-\infty}^{-\Lambda/\hbar} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\zeta}(x-y)\phi(y/\hbar)dy dx d\Lambda \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

We analyze the last integral  $J(\hbar)$  in the equation above.

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(\hbar) &= \hbar^{-3} \int_{-1}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{-\Lambda/\hbar}^{-\Lambda/\hbar-y} - \int_{-\infty}^{-\Lambda/\hbar} \right) \hat{\zeta}(v)\phi(y/\hbar)dv dy d\Lambda \\
 &= \hbar^{-2} \int_{-1/\hbar}^{1/\hbar} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\tau}^{-\tau-y} \hat{\zeta}(v)\phi(y/\hbar)dv dy d\tau \\
 &\quad + \check{\phi}(0)\hbar^{-1} \int_{-1/\hbar}^{1/\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau} \hat{\zeta}(v)dv d\tau \\
 &= \mathcal{O}_N \left( \hbar^{-2} \int_{-1/\hbar}^{1/\hbar} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|\tau|)^{-N} |y|\phi(y/\hbar)dy d\tau \right) \\
 &\quad + \check{\phi}(0)\hbar^{-1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{-1/\hbar} \int_{-1/\hbar}^{1/\hbar} \hat{\zeta}(v) d\tau dv + \int_{-1/\hbar}^{1/\hbar} \int_{-1/\hbar}^{-v} \hat{\zeta}(v)d\tau dv \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{O} \left( \hbar^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|\phi(y/\hbar)dy \right) \\
 &\quad + \check{\phi}(0)\hbar^{-1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{-1/\hbar} 2\hbar^{-1}\hat{\zeta}(v)dv + \int_{-1/\hbar}^{1/\hbar} (-v+\hbar^{-1})\hat{\zeta}(v)dv \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{O}(1) + \check{\phi}(0)\hbar^{-1} \left( \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty) - \int_{-1/\hbar}^{1/\hbar} v\hat{\zeta}(v)dv + \hbar^{-1} \int_{-1/\hbar}^{1/\hbar} \hat{\zeta}(v)dv \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{O}(1) + \check{\phi}(0)\hbar^{-1} \left( \mathcal{O}(\hbar^\infty) + \hbar^{-1} - \hbar^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1/\hbar, 1/\hbar]} \hat{\zeta}(v)dv \right) \\
 &= \mathcal{O}(1) + \check{\phi}(0)\hbar^{-2},
 \end{aligned}$$

because  $\hat{\zeta}(v)$  is rapidly decaying, is an even function and  $\int \hat{\zeta}(v)dv = 1$ .

### References

[BG] V. BENTKUS, F. GÖTZE, Lattice point problems and distribution of values of quadratic forms, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 50:3 (1999), 977–1027.  
 [Bé] P.H. BÉRARD, On the wave equation on a compact Riemannian manifold without conjugate points, *Math. Z.* 155:3 (1977), 249–276.  
 [Bl] P. BLEHER, On the distribution of the number of lattice points inside a family of convex ovals, *Duke Math. J.* 67:3 (1992), 461–481.

- [C] Y. COLIN DE VERDIÈRE, Spectre conjoint d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels qui commutent. II. Le cas intégrable. *Math. Z.* 171:1 (1980), 51–73.
- [Cr] H. CRAMÉR, Über zwei Sätze von Herrn G.H. Hardy, *Math. Z.* 15 (1922), 201–210.
- [DG] J.J. DUISTERMAAT, V.W. GUILLEMIN, The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics, *Invent. Math.* 29:1 (1975), 39–79.
- [F] F. FRICKER, Einführung in die Gitterpunktlehre, [Introduction to lattice point theory] *Lehrbücher und Monographien aus dem Gebiete der Exakten Wissenschaften (LMW), Mathematische Reihe [Textbooks and Monographs in the Exact Sciences, Mathematical Series]* 73, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston, Mass., 1982.
- [G] F. GÖTZE, Lattice point problems and values of quadratic forms, preprint, University of Bielefeld.
- [H1] G.H. HARDY, The average order of the arithmetical functions  $P(x)$  and  $\Delta(x)$ , *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 15 (1916), 192–213.
- [H2] G.H. HARDY, On the expression of a number as the sum of two squares, *Quart. J. Math.* 46, (1915), 263–283.
- [He] D.R. HEATH-BROWN, The distribution and moments of the error term in the Dirichlet divisor problem, *Acta Arith.* 60:4 (1992), 389–415.
- [Hej1] D. HEJHAL, The Selberg Trace Formula for  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, R)$ , vol. I, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 548 (1976).
- [Hej2] D. HEJHAL, The Selberg Trace Formula for  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, R)$ , vol. 2, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1001 (1983).
- [Hö] L. HÖRMANDER, The spectral function of an elliptic operator, *Acta Math.* 121 (1968), 193–218.
- [Hu1] M.N. HUXLEY, Exponential sums and lattice points, II. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 66:2 (1993), 279–301.
- [Hu2] M.N. HUXLEY, The mean lattice point discrepancy, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) 38:3 (1995), 523–531.
- [ISS] A. IOSEVICH, E. SAWYER, A. SEEGER, Mean square discrepancy bounds for the number of lattice points in large convex bodies, *Journal d'Analyse* (T. Wolff Memorial issue), to appear.
- [Iv1] V.YA. IVRII, The second term of the spectral asymptotics for a Laplace–Beltrami operator on manifolds with boundary, *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 14:2 (1980), 25–34.
- [Iv2] V.YA. IVRII, Precise Spectral Asymptotics for Elliptic Operators Acting in Fiberings over Manifolds with Boundary, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1100 (1984).
- [K] D.G. KENDALL, On the number of lattice points inside a random oval, *Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser.* 19, (1948), 1–26.

- [L] E. LANDAU, Über Gitterpunkte mehrdimensionalen Ellipsoiden, *Math. Z.* 21 (1924), 126–132.
- [R1] B. RANDOL, A group-theoretic lattice-point problem, *Problems in Analysis* (papers dedicated to Salomon Bochner, 1969) Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1970), 291–295.
- [R2] B. RANDOL, A Dirichlet series of eigenvalue type with applications to asymptotic estimates, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 13 (1981), 309–315.
- [U] A. URIBE, Trace formulae, *First Summer School in Analysis and Mathematical Physics* (Cuernavaca Morelos, 1998), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, *Contemp. Math.* 260 (2000), 61–90.
- [S] P. SARNAK, Arithmetic quantum chaos, *The Schur lectures (1992)* (Tel Aviv), *Israel Math. Conf. Proc.* 8, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan (1995), 183–236.
- [Si] W. SIERPIŃSKI, Sur un problème du calcul des fonctions asymptotiques in *Oeuvres choisies*, Tome I Éditions Scientifiques de Pologne, Warsaw (1974), 73–108.
- [V] A.V. VOLOVOY, Improved two-term asymptotics for the eigenvalue distribution function of an elliptic operator on a compact manifold, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 15:11 (1990), 1509–1563.

YIANNIS PETRIDIS, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University,  
Montreal, Canada [petridis@math.mcgill.ca](mailto:petridis@math.mcgill.ca)

JOHN A. TOTH, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University,  
Montreal, Canada [jtoth@math.mcgill.ca](mailto:jtoth@math.mcgill.ca)

Submitted: July 2001  
Revision: August 2001  
Revision: March 2002